

State Interagency Council

Standing Committee Meeting Summaries

May 27, 2015

Continuous Quality Improvement

Committee meeting attendees introduced themselves and reviewed the agenda. As a follow up to the presentation provided in the preceding SIAC meeting, the committee was guided through a facilitated discussion based on their responses to the presentation.

The committee shared data inventories from their agencies, including types of reports and data each was able to provide. The committee then reviewed and discussed the current data indicators that are reported to the State Interagency Council (SIAC) on a monthly basis and reviewed an example of how that information is presented. Discussion focused on the need to measure information that accurately shows if the System of Care (SOC) has been successful or not in providing services and supports to a youth/family. The committee discussed the need to have a formalized process for requesting data from the Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) committee in order to gain a better picture of what exactly another subcommittee may be requesting and ensure the data they receive is an accurate indicator of the outcomes on which the request is focused. This will be developed before the next meeting in June 2015. Each committee member then reported what their ideas of a successful outcome would be and some potential ways to measure that information. The committee will focus on building on the list of indicators for the next meeting.

Finance & Resource

Committee meeting attendees introduced themselves and reviewed the agenda. As a follow up to the presentation provided in the preceding SIAC meeting, the committee was guided through a facilitated discussion based on their responses to the presentation.

Financial mapping consultant, Mary Armstrong joined the meeting via telephone. She spent some time discussing how she will conduct the next financial mapping and how the committee as a group can support that effort. This will be the second round of financial mapping and would like for the Finance and Resource Committee to act as the Advisory Group who will help her connect to others as needed. Kentucky has a grant from SAMHSA which requires financial mapping of substance abuse services. In rounds one and three, Kentucky decided to expand the scope of financial mapping for not only substance abuse disorder but also children with mental health disorders. There are three target populations: Substance Abuse Disorders; Mental Health Disorders; and, Co-Occurring Disorders.

Mary Armstrong reported that in previous processes, a set of decision rules were made that guided the financial mapping and would like to do that again with this committee. Items for consideration include the following:

1. What fiscal year will be used? The last financial mapping used state fiscal year 2011. The reason state fiscal year 2011 was used is because it was easier for most agencies to collect data based on the state fiscal year as opposed to the federal fiscal year.
2. What age categories will be used? The last financial mapping was rather ambitious with maps for

State Interagency Council

Standing Committee Meeting Summaries

May 27, 2015

multiple ages and categories as well as combined maps.

3. What codes will be used? The codes will be needed for substance abuse and mental health.
4. Determine the Service Array to include on each map. Michelle will share the previous Service Array with the committee. Mary asked the committee to think about what would be available in the best possible world along with what a full Service Array should look like for substance, mental and co-occurring disorders.

Once decisions are made then the face-to-face interviews with key individuals in all state agencies that serve children and their families who have substance and mental health disorders will begin. It was suggested that any other agencies that are an important source of data for how educational needs are met for the kids be contacted. Adria Johnson said that the committee spent a considerable amount of time at the last meeting looking over the past report. For many members, it was the first time they had ever seen the report and some of the foundational knowledge may be a little difficult to understand at first. The consultant fielded a variety of questions from the group and committee members responded positively to the idea of her attending a meeting in person in the near future.

Service Array

Committee meeting attendees introduced themselves and reviewed the agenda. As a follow up to the presentation provided in the preceding SIAC meeting, the committee was guided through a facilitated discussion based on their responses to the presentation.

The majority of the meeting was spent discussing the draft Service Map put together by the subcommittee. The committee split into small workgroups and discussed feedback from on all columns of the map. Diane Gruen-Kidd volunteered to take the comments back and update the service map to be reviewed at the next meeting in June 2015.

System Structure & Governance

Committee meeting attendees introduced themselves and reviewed the agenda. As a follow up to the presentation provided in the preceding SIAC meeting, the committee was guided through a facilitated discussion based on their responses to the presentation.

Participants were asked to jot down their responses on an index card to the 4 facilitated discussion questions posed to each of the Standing Committees. Responses were to be compiled and submitted to the SIAC Chair and the staff. The group transitioned to committee-specific work. This began with a review of the last two meetings and the purpose and outcome of the current session. The group was provided a DRAFT of the proposed functions and roles of a second-generation Regional Interagency Council (RIAC)/Local Interagency Council (LIAC) that were discussed during the earlier SIAC presentation. A brainstorming session ensued around the following question: "Based on the information shared with the full SIAC and guests, from your agency or family/youth perspective, how do you envision utilizing RIACs (LIACs) within the proposed second-generation model? Ideas were captured on index cards and placed on a sticky wall under the four broad

State Interagency Council

Standing Committee Meeting Summaries

May 27, 2015

function-based categories proposed for RIACs/LIACs under a second-generation model. These were transcribed and will be shared back with the group at the next meeting. These ideas will be shared with a joint Local Resource Coordinator (LRC)/RIAC Chair meeting to be held at the SOC Academy on June 2, 2015. The committee will continue our brainstorming session at the next meeting with two additional questions that there was not enough time to discuss in meeting.

Training & Technical Assistance

Committee meeting attendees introduced themselves and reviewed the agenda. As a follow up to the presentation provided in the preceding SIAC meeting, the committee was guided through a facilitated discussion based on their responses to the presentation.

Following that discussion, the committee Chair provided a response from DBH to group's questions regarding the decision to no longer provide SC101 training, which was discussed in the last committee meeting. No further discussion from the group was needed.

In conclusion, the committee Chair provided an update on the upcoming System of Care Academy to the members. The Committee has agreed to assist with planning the 2016 SOC Academy.